

Candidate:					Successful		
Evaluator:						Unsuccessful	
Date:						1041-PROD	UCT-15
Stan	dard:	NFP	A 1041 – 2019	edition – 5.2.2			
Task	:	Assig	gn instructional	sessions.			
Performance Outcome:		The	specified sessi	ons are deliver	ed according to	AHJ policy.	
Cond	ditions:		n AHJ scheduli imeline for deli		uctional resour	ces, staff, facili	ties,
Cand	lidate ctive:	"Crea	Create a training schedule to meet the training needs of your crew."				
		l	I				
NO.	TASK STEPS		CRITERIA 4	3	2	1	Points
1	Instructio sessions assigned		Session assignments met AHJ policy requirements	Session assignments mostly met AHJ policy requirements	Session assignments somewhat met AHJ policy requirements	Session assignments did not meet AHJ policy requirements	1 Gillito
Evalu	uator/Car	ndidate	e Comments:				'
Cand	lidate (prii	nt and s	ign)		1	Date	



Evaluator (print and sign)	Date



Candidate:					Successful		
Evaluator:					Unsuccessful		
Date	ite: 1041-PRODUCT		UCT-16				
Ston	dard:	NED	A 10/11 2010	edition – 5.2.3			
Stan	uaru.	INFF	A 1041 – 2019	euilion – 5.2.3			
Task	:	Reco	mmend budge	et needs.			
	ormance ome:		resources requ mented.	iired to meet tra	iining goals are	e identified and	
Cond	ditions:	Give	n training goals	s, AHJ budget p	oolicy, and curi	ent resources.	
	didate ctive:		ke a recommer s can be met."	ndation for budç	get needs so th	nat upcoming tra	aining
			T				
NO.	TASK		CRITERIA 4	3	2	1	Point
	STEPS		7	3	_	'	S
1	Budget recommo ion was made	endat	Recommen ded budget met all training goals	Recommend ed budget mostly met training goals	Recommen ded budget somewhat met training goals	Recommend ed budget did not meet training goals	
Eval	uator/Car	ndidate	e Comments:				
Cano	lidate (pri	nt and s	ign)			Date	
Eval	uator (prin	t and si	gn)			Date	



#### FIRE SERVICE INSTRUCTOR – NFPA 1041

#### **PRACTICAL CHECK SHEETS**

Candidate:						Successful		
Evaluator:					Unsucces	sful 🗌		
Date:					1041-PROD	UCT-17		
				11:1				
Stan	Standard: NFPA 1041 – 2019 edition – 5.2.4							
Task		Gath	er training reso	ources.				
Performance Outcome:				obtained within cording to AHJ		melines, budget		
Cond	ditions:	Give	n an identified	need.				
	didate ctive:	"Gath	ner the resourc	es you need to	meet the prov	vided training go	al."	
			T =					
NO.	TASK STEPS		CRITERIA 4	3	2	1	Point s	
1	Resource were gathered meet train goal	l to	Resources met all training goals	Resources met most training goals	Resources met some training goals	Resources did not meet training goals		
Evalı	uator/Car	ndidat	e Comments:					
Cano	lidate (pri	nt and s	ign)			Date		



Candidate:						Succes	sful 🗌
Evaluator:					Unsucces	sful 🗌	
Date:					1041-PROD	JCT-18	
01	-11-	NED	A 4044 0040	- dition			
Stan	dard:	NFP/	A 1041 – 2019	edition – 5.2.5			
Task	:	Mana	age training red	cord-keeping.			
Performance Outcome:		All Al	HJ and legal re	equirements are	met.		
Cond	ditions:	Give	n training reco	rds, AHJ policy,	and training a	ctivity.	
	lidate ctive:	"Mair	ntain accurate	training record-	keeping."		
			I				
NO.	TASK STEPS		CRITERIA 4	3	2	1	Point s
1	Training records when the requirem	were oer	All AHJ and legal requirement were met	Most AHJ and legal requirements were met	Some AHJ and legal requirement were met	AHJ and legal requirements were not met	
Evalı	uator/Car	ndidate	e Comments:				
Cano	lidate (pri	nt and s	ign)			Date	



#### FIRE SERVICE INSTRUCTOR - NFPA 1041

#### **PRACTICAL CHECK SHEETS**

Cano	Candidate:			Successful		
Evaluator:		Uı	Unsuccessful			
Date:	<del></del>	 1041	1041-PROCESS-19			
Stan	dard:	NFPA 1041 – 2019 edition – 5.2.6				
Task: Evaluate instructors.						
Outcome: recommends characteristics recommunication in		The evaluation identifies areas of strength recommends changes in instructional sty communication methods, and provides of instructor feedback to the evaluator.	le and	sses,		
Cond	ditions:	Given an evaluation tool, AHJ policy, and	d objectives.			
Cand	lidate ctive:	"Complete an evaluation of the instructor	in the provided	scenario."		
NO.		TASK STEPS	Successful	ST Unsuccessful		
		rs were evaluated				
1	Instructo	15 were evaluated				
2		strength and weakness were identified				
	Areas of					
2	Areas of Changes	strength and weakness were identified were recommended r was provided with an opportunity to				
2	Areas of Changes Instructo give feed	strength and weakness were identified were recommended r was provided with an opportunity to				
2 3 4 5	Areas of Changes Instructo give feed Evaluation	strength and weakness were identified were recommended r was provided with an opportunity to black				
2 3 4 5	Areas of Changes Instructo give feed Evaluation	strength and weakness were identified s were recommended r was provided with an opportunity to dback on records were completed				
2 3 4 5	Areas of Changes Instructo give feed Evaluation	strength and weakness were identified s were recommended r was provided with an opportunity to dback on records were completed				
2 3 4 5 <b>Eval</b> u	Areas of Changes Instructo give feed Evaluation	strength and weakness were identified s were recommended r was provided with an opportunity to dback on records were completed adidate Comments:	Date			



Candidate:		Successful
Evaluator:		Unsuccessful
Date:		1041-PRODUCT-20
Standard:	NFPA 1041 – 2019 edition – 5.3.2	
Task:	Create a lesson plan.	
Performance Outcome:	Learning objectives, a lesson outline, course me instructional technology tools, and an evaluation topic are addressed.	•
Conditions:	Given a topic, learner characteristics, and a les	sson plan format.
Candidate Directive:	"Create a lesson plan using the provided topic to be delivered to your crew members."	and lesson plan format

NO.	TASK	CRITERIA								
NO.	STEPS	4	3	2	1	Points				
1	Learning objectives defined	Learning objectives were clear and defined	Learning objectives were mostly clear and defined	Learning objectives were somewhat clear and defined	Learning objectives were unclear and/or undefined					
2	Lesson outline created	Lesson outline is clear and complete	Lesson outline is mostly clear and complete	Lesson outline is somewhat clear and complete	Lesson outline is unclear and/or incomplete					
3	Course materials assembled	Course materials were effective and relevant	Course materials were mostly effective and relevant	Course materials were somewhat effective and relevant	Course materials were ineffective and/or irrelevant					
4	Information technology tools addressed	IT tools were effective and functional	IT tools were mostly effective and functional	IT tools were somewhat effective and functional	IT tools were ineffective or non-functional					
5	Evaluation plan created	Evaluation plan was effective	Evaluation plan was mostly effective	Evaluation plan was somewhat effective	Evaluation plan was ineffective					



Evaluator/Candidate Comments:	
One Plate and a second	 Date
Candidate (print and sign)	Date
Evaluator (print and sign)	 Date



Cand	idate:			Successful		
Evaluator:			 Ur	nsuccessful 🗌		
Date:			<del></del>	1041-PROCESS-2		
Stand	dard:	NFPA 1041 – 2019 edition – 5.4.2				
Task:		Conduct a class using a lesson plan that the instructor has prepared and that involves the utilization of multiple teaching methods and techniques.				
Performance Outcome:		The lesson is delivered in a safe and effectives are achieved.	ective manner ar	nd the		
Conc	litions:	Given a topic and a target audience.				
Candidate Directive:		"Deliver your lesson to your crew."				
			TEST			
NO.		TASK STEPS	Successful Unsuccessful			
1	Lesson peffective	plans were delivered safely and ly				
2	Multiple tutilized	teaching methods and techniques were				
Evalu	uator/Can	adidate Comments:				
Cand	lidate (prir	nt and sign)	Date			



Evaluator (print and sign)	Date



Cand	lidate:			Successful	
Evaluator:			U	nsuccessful 🗌	
Date:		<del></del>	1041-PROCESS-		
Stan	dard:	NFPA 1041 – 2019 edition – 5.4.3			
Task	:	Supervise other instructors and students	during training.		
	ormance ome:	Applicable safety standards and practice instructional goals are met.	s are followed a	nd	
Conc	litions:	Given a specialized training scenario.			
Cand	lidate ctive:	"Provide supervision to instructors and st scenario."	udents during th	ne training	
			TEST		
NO.		TASK STEPS	Successful	Unsuccessful	
1	Instructo	rs and students were supervised			
2	Applicab followed	le safety standards and practices were			
3	Instruction	onal goals were met			
Evalu	uator/Can	ndidate Comments:			
Cand	lidate (prir	nt and sign)	 Date		
	uator (prin		Date		



Candidate:						Successful					
Evalu	uator:				_	Unsuccessful					
Date:						1041-PRODUCT-23					
Standard:		NFPA 1041 – 2019 edition – 5.5.2									
Task:		Develop student evaluation instruments.									
Performance Outcome:		The evaluation instrument measures whether the student has achieved the learning objectives.									
Conditions:		Given learning objectives, learner characteristics, and training goals.									
Candidate Directive:		"Develop evaluations for your crew to measure student progress based on the provided training materials."									
	TACK		CRITERIA								
NO. TASK STEPS			4	3	2	1	Points				
Evaluation instrument developed		Instrument is effective in measuring student achievement	Instrument is mostly effective in measuring student achievement	Instrument is somewhat effective in measuring student achievement	Instrument is ineffective in measuring student achievement						
Evaluator/Candidate Comments:											
Cano	lidate (prir	nt and sign)				Date					
Evaluator (print and sign)						Date					



Candidate:						Successful					
Evaluator:						Unsuccessful					
Date:						1041-PRODUCT-24					
Cton		NED	A 1044 2010	adition F.F.2							
Standard:		NFPA 1041 – 2019 edition – 5.5.3									
Task:		Develop a class evaluation instrument.									
Performance Outcome:		Students have the ability to provide feedback on instructional methods, communication techniques, learning environment,									
		course content, and student materials.									
Conditions:		Given AHJ policy and evaluation goals.									
Candidate Directive:		"Create a way for your students to evaluate their experience in your course."									
NO.	TASK STEPS		CRITERIA	2		4 Dointo					
1	Evaluation instrument allows student feedback on instructional methods, communication techniques, learning environment, course content and student materials		Evaluation instrument covers all listed topics	Evaluation instrument covers most listed topics	Evaluation instrument covers some listed topics	Evaluation instrument does not address listed topics	Points				
Evaluator/Candidate Comments:											
Cano	lidate (pri	nt and s	ign)		Date						
Eval	uator (prin		Date								